

Friday, May 9, 2014, 9:00 am - 12:00 pm Florida Department of Transportation Conference Room 479 605 Suwannee Street, Tallahassee

Agenda

TIME	DESCRIPTION	LEAD
9:00	Welcome and Introductions	Danielle King
AM	BACKGROUND: Introduction of TRCC Executive Board Members, and guests.	Technical Committee Members
	 The following Executive Board members attended: John Bixler, DOH Chief Eugenio Bernal, Police Chief's Association Debbie Todd (proxy for Dana Reiding), DHSMV David Brand, Florida Highway Patrol 	
	Lora Hollingsworth, FDOTLt. Col. Kelly Hildreth	
	86% participation	
9:15 AM	Section 405(c) Funding Request Presentation - Electronic License and Vehicle Information Systems (ELVIS)	Florida State University
	BACKGROUND: Funding requested for FY2015: \$322,022 This project would develop a FCIC/NCIC import tool that would be provided free of charge to local law enforcement agencies. The tool would improve the accuracy and quality of data submitted, while reducing redundancy and labor costs of manual entry. Currently many agencies are paying separate licensing costs to query NCIC/FCIC data from providers whose software does not easily partner with TraCS. The proposed Electronic License and Vehicle Information System (ELVIS) will provide all LEAs the ability to run queries, and import contact information into TraCS forms. Development of the tool has already begun using TraCS funding; however, additional funding is sought for development, testing, deployment, and training. The ELVIS tool will be provided in a web-based format (to be hosted by the Panama City PD), as well as a desktop client. The grant will support the following expenses: software purchases, computer supplies, office supplies, in-state travel, out-of-state travel, cellular phones, internet fees, desktops/laptops, servers, and indirect costs to FSU. Lisa Spainhour provided an overview of the ELVIS proposal, which was initially funded through TraCS and is 2 years into planning and development. Officer Timothy Swigget provided a demonstration of the application, which pulls data directly from FCIC/NCIC without the need to run drivers licenses, registration, etc. Zach Williams presented on the project objectives and scope	

thereby improving the accuracy, completeness, and uniformity of information entered into the crash/citation report. The project team anticipates the project will save \$1.7 million. The largest barrier, obtaining FDLE approval, has already been conquered. In a recent survey, 61 out of 77



TIME	DESCRIPTION	LEAD
	TraCS agencies expressed interest in using ELVIS.	
	Executive Board members asked the following questions:	
	• Is there integration with CAD dispatch? Yes, there will be one point of contact at the agency, and everything filters through to them.	
 What kind of feedback are you getting from officers on the street? The system being beta tested in Panama City. It is not being used in live production yet, be getting good feedback with the web version. 		
	Is the system compatible with mag stripe readers? Yes	
 What happens when the system crashes? There will be off site backups setting up a disaster recovery site at their jail, which includes setting up Do agencies not already have access to NCIC? Many smaller agencies described to the system. 		
		agencies don't have access.
	 Can you provide details on the redundant system? There will be a duplicate server at the jail, so if the network connection to the main PD dies, it will switch over to the jail. The switchover will be seamless to officers. What are the specific data sources? FCIC, NCIC, Inlets (missing persons), and III (crimin history). All sources come from FDLE. Is this a TraCS Florida or TraCS National initiative? It is a TraCS Florida initiative. How soon could a large agency be supported in the beta test? If FSU receives funding, it would be their goal to add a large agency such as Tampa PD. It will depend on whether agency agrees. The project team is setting up a vendor booth at the CJIS symposium this year to market the system to PDs. 	
9:35 AM	Section 405(c) Funding Request Presentation – Local EMS Agency Subgrants	Florida Dept. of Health – Brenda Clotfelter
	BACKGROUND: Funding Requested for FY 2015: \$200,000	
	Funding is requested to allow the DOH to manage subgrants to EMS agencies who are improving their level of automation with the goal of submitting to the state EMSTARS/NEMSIS compliant repository. The proposal includes the software, hardware, communication components, and installation/implementation services that would be acquired by local EMS provider agencies. Typically, this would include notebooks or tables, desktop PCs, printers/scanners, servers, and communication components.	
	Brenda Clotfelter presented an overview of the Local EMS Agency Subgrants proposal. A mandatory participation requirement is currently in draft form; this mandate would require EMS reporting. DOH is already working with AHCA to integrate EMS and outcome data. Danielle noted that Section 405(c) funding is the only national source of funding to support NEMSIS reporting. The TRCC reports on the number of EMS agencies reporting to EMSTARS in order to show progress and quality for funding. John stated that a NHTSA Technical Assistance Committee came in last November and did an assessment. This was identified as a critical gap that needs to be	



TIME

Florida Traffic Records Coordinating Committee Executive Board Meeting

Friday, May 9, 2014, 9:00 am - 12:00 pm Florida Department of Transportation Conference Room 479 605 Suwannee Street, Tallahassee

LEAD

	closed.		
	The following questions were asked:		
	 What kind of challenges do you anticipate in bringing EMS agencies on board? DOH will not reimburse funds to agencies that don't meet requirements. Danielle noted that they will likely expand the TRCC's role in managing EMS subgrants in the future. 		
9:55 Scoring/Ranking Process for Project Prioritization Dena Sny AM			
	BACKGROUND: Members of the TRCC will be asked to prioritize/score projects using the following criteria:		
	vard EITHER		
	 0 or 5 points. Section B: Four Box Analysis Process. Complete this section for all proposals. Award points. 		
	 Section C: Impact on Deficiencies & TSIS Strategic Plan Goals/Objectives. Complete the section for statewide proposals, as well as Court of Clerk and Local EMS Agency proposition of the section for these established yet). Award 1 to 5 points. 		
	 Section D: Cost Allocation & Impact on E-Submittals. Complete this section for enforcement agency proposals only. Award 1 to 5 points. 	law	
	The following ballot details the criteria for each section.		
	Section 405(c) Proposal Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	
	SECTION A: General Administrative - COMPLETE THIS SECTION FOR ALL PROPOSALS (Award 5 points if criterion are met, 0 points for failure to meet criterion)		
	Administrative: All sections of the proposal form are complete; the requested amount is consistent with the detailed budget; the detailed budget math is correct; the proposal is signed; the supplemental application form is submitted (law enforcement agencies only); and the proposal is submitted prior to the deadline. FAILURE TO MEET ANY CRITERIA WILL RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION OF PROPOSAL.	5	
	SECTION B: Four Box Analysis Process - COMPLETE THIS SECTION FOR ALL PROPOSALS (Maximum total points allowed for section: 30 points; 5 points for each criterion)		
	Impact on Core Systems: If successful, the project will significantly improve deficiencies in one or more of Florida's core traffic records information systems.	5	

DESCRIPTION



TIME	DESCRIPTION LEAI)
	Project Cost: Budget costs are allowable, reasonable (i.e., the cost is low compared to average proposal cost), and is designed to support the project.	5
	Risk of Failure: The risk of failure is very low, and/or there are minimal costs associated with failure of this project. Potential Barriers: The project has a high likelihood of success in achieving the desired impact on core traffic records information system(s) with no significant barriers to implementation.	
	Stakeholder Coordination: There are minimal challenges/uncertainties associated with stakeholder coordination/support for this project.	5
	Performance Measures: Performance measures incorporate NHTSA-recommended traffic records performance measures.	5
	SECTION C: Impact on Deficiencies & TSIS Strategic Plan Goals/Objectives - COMPLETE THIS SECTION FOR CLERK OF COURT, EMS AGENCY, & STATEWIDE PROPOSALS ONLY (Maximum total points allowed for section: 15 points; 5 points for each criterion)	
	Deficiencies: Project addresses a high priority deficiency area (i.e., deficiencies in core systems/performance areas) for the TRCC.	5
	Impact on TSIS Strategic Plan Objectives/Strategies: Project objectives are directly tied to one or more specific, clearly identified goal(s), objective(s), and/or strategies in the Florida Traffic Records Strategic Plan and will significantly impact progress in that area.	5
	Reporting on Progress: Project performance measures have potential to be used in Florida's Report on Interim Progress to NHTSA (i.e., performance measures incorporate reporting at statewide or systemwide level and are NHTSA-compliant).	5
	SECTION D: Cost Allocation & Impact on E-Submittals - COMPLETE THIS SECTION FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY PROPOSALS ONLY (Maximum total points allowed for section: 15 points; 5 points for each criterion)	
	Local Impact on Electronic Submittals: Project will significantly increase the number of electronic submittals by the agency.	5
	Statewide Impact on Electronic Submittals: Project will significantly increase the number of electronic submittals statewide.	5
	Proposal cost allocation: Proposal cost allocation (average money allocated per esubmittal) is reasonable for the scope of the project, and the allocation is low compared to the average allocation across all proposals.	5
	TOTAL POINTS	50
	Dena Snyder (Cambridge Systematics) provided an overview of the grant tracking spre- prioritization ballot. Instructions for filling out the ballot were provided to Board members were encouraged to discuss any concerns regarding the subgrant proposals and to new TRCC Board members with the prioritization process as needed.	bers, and



Friday, May 9, 2014, 9:00 am - 12:00 pm Florida Department of Transportation Conference Room 479 605 Suwannee Street, Tallahassee

	605 Suwannee Street, Tallana	
ME	DESCRIPTION	LEAD
):10 \M	Board Discussion & Scoring/Ranking of Projects	TRCC Executive Board
	BACKGROUND: Discussion of any remaining questions/conceand grant proposals.	erns regarding project presentation
	The Board agreed to disqualify 10 proposals due to one or more inconsistencies between requested funding amount, detailed but budget narrative did not include detailed information on the speedipment to be purchased; 3) failure to respond to all questions form; 4) failure to respond to follow-up questions from the TRC6 the proposal topic was not eligible for Section 405(c) funding. To were disqualified:	dget, and/or budget narrative; 2) ecific type and quantity of s in the supplemental application C Board in a timely manner; or 5)
	 Arcadia PD - Mobile Data Entry 	
	Boynton Beach PD - Printers/Bar Code Readers for Veh	icles
	 Calhoun County SO – Crash Reporting 	
	 Lauderhill PD - Citation Reporting Hardware 	
	 Liberty County SO – Crash Reporting System 	
	 Melbourne Airport PD – Expedition 14 	
	Mexico Beach PD - Crash & Citation Electronic Submiss:	ion
	Miami-Dade PD – eCrash Equipment	
	Okeechobee County SO – eCrash Implementation	
	Washington County Clerk of the Court – eCitation RMS	Project
	There was discussion regarding ways to cut proposal costs in or Board agreed that they would not fund the following types of ex-	* /
	 Paper costs beyond an initial supply 	
	 Technical support from e-crash vendors 	
	Cellular connectivity fees	
	 Software bundles to enable e-citations on the devices 	
	Printer connectivity modules	
	Software to export citations to the Records Management	System or Court Clerk
	Software to database citation data	
	Annual maintenance fees	
	Project management fees	

Danielle noted that for future years, she can have Cambridge Systematics cross-check the eCitation/eCrash information submitted in LEA supplemental information forms, since this



TIME	DESCRIPTION	LEAD	
	information is available through DHSMV.		
10:45 AM	Board Discussion & Approval of Prioritization Results	TRCC Executive Board	
	BACKGROUND: The ballots will be tallied by the TRCC Coordinate will be presented to the Board. There will be an opportunity for distinct the results.		
	The proposals were prioritized as follows: 1 - DOH Field Data Collection for NEMSIS Compliance (Year 2 - DOH Web-based Data Collection for Field Data Collection \$50,000		
	3 - DOH Local EMS Subgrants, \$ 200,000 4 - DHSMV Crash Data Quality of DHSMV Stakeholders, \$ 71,500 5 - UF Unified & Sustainable Solution to Improve Crash Geo-location Timeliness and Accuracy and HSMV Crash Data Quality (Year 3), \$ 125,159		
	6 - St. Lucie Co. Clerk of the Circuit Court - Electronic Citatio 6 - University of Central Florida PD E-Citation Project, \$ 59,9 8 - UF Expanding Accessibility, Utilization, and Data Integra (Year 3), \$156,354	10	
	9 - Tampa PD Electronic Crash Reporting (Phase II) (Year 2), 10 - FSU Electronic License and Vehicle Information System (11 - Umatilla PD IT Update, \$ 7,429		
	12 - Winter Park PD Electronic Citation Reporting, \$ 193,598 13 - Lake Helen PD Computer Life, \$ 4,000 14 - C. of South Daytona PD Printers for Police Vehicles, \$ 11 14 - C. of Sweetwater PD Electronic System Acquisition Proje		
	16 - Juno Beach PD Enhancement of eCrash and eCitation Re 16 - Okeechobee PD eCrash/eCitation Initiative, \$ 45,335 18 - Polk Co SO Paperless Submission for Traffic Related Doo	porting, \$ 40,013	
	19 - Ft. Lauderdale PD eCitation/eCrash Reporting Enhancer 20 - Indialantic PD Electronic Submission, \$ 16,200 21 - Indian River Co. SO Electronic Citation Traffic Records I	•	
	22 - Bartow PD E-cite Hardware, \$ 30,081 23 - Cocoa Beach PD Electronic Citation/Crash Submission (24 - Plant City PD E-Citation Equipment Upgrade, \$ 16,300	Year 2), \$ 13,717	
	The Executive Board was advised that an estimated \$2.4M in Section awarded to the State for the FFY2015 application cycle. John Bixler in funding to the top 18 ranked projects totaling \$2,414,740, and to fund if carryover funds are available. The motion was seconded; all approximately approximat	nade a motion to award I the remaining ranked projects	



TIME	DESCRIPTION	LEAD	
11:15 AM	Critical Updates on TSIS Strategic Plan Goals & Objectives	Goal Leaders	
	BACKGROUND: Goal leaders will update the TRCC on quarterly progress in achieving TSIS Strategic Plan goals and objectives. ***Only critical updates to be provided*** • TRCC Coordination: Danielle King		
	 Crash, Driver, Citation/Adjudication Data Systems: DHSMV Roadway Data Systems: Florida DOT EMS/Trauma Data Systems: DOH 	7	
	This agenda item is tabled until the next meeting. Project managers are requested to send tupdates to Danielle, and she will distribute them to the Board.		
11:35 AM	Next Steps	Danielle King	
	 BACKGROUND: Danielle will discuss next steps in preparing Florical application and annual update of the TSIS Strategic Plan. Interim progress report Section 405(c) Application – due July 1st Updates on TRCC Outreach Video 	la's Section 405(c) grant	
	Danielle reported that Cambridge Systematics has been tasked with on the importance and value of traffic records data. A draft script is we will be in contact with data system owners to identify why we are why we want to link it, how information is being used, and why it is information accurately. The intended audience is law enforcement a for anyone to understand the value and importance of traffic records script by the end of June, shoot the video in early July, and have a pr September. We will be reaching out to stakeholders to identify narrathe video.	currently being drafted, and e collecting this information, important to fill out the gencies, but it will be valuable data. We will finalize the oduct available by early	
	There was discussion regarding the need to establish per unit costs. past, the Board agreed on a cost of \$3500/unit for laptops. Chief Ber further investigate various brands of ruggedized and semi-ruggedized his experiences with Panasonic Toughbooks and Getac semi-ruggedit that she provides guidance to agencies as part of the training process purchases by conducting on-site visits. For the August meeting, Dar average costs of various vendors of laptops, mag readers, printers, et caps.	nal suggested the need to ed laptops, and he mentioned zed laptops. Danielle noted s, and she also monitors nielle will ask CS to investigate	



TIME	DESCRIPTION	LEAD
	Danielle asked for feedback regarding the pilot prioritization process. Lora noted that from her experience on other committees, the executive team's purpose should be to hear feedback and recommendations on proposals from a subcommittee, and then agree on those recommendations of suggest changes. John Bixler noted that the Board didn't receive this many proposals when they first started the process. It is also difficult to compare proposals, since some agencies are seeking funding to purchase a single type of equipment (e.g., printers), while others want a complete equipment package (e.g., laptops, mag stripe readers, and printers).	
	Amy Cochran suggested that it may not make sense to fund an agency if their Clerk of Court will not accept eCitations, since these agencies have to print the report and mail it in anyway. This does not contribute to increased electronic reporting in the State. The Board agreed to add "Clerk of Court does not accept eCitations" as an administrative criteria to disqualify eCitation proposals next year. Note – disqualification would be for eCitation proposals only, not eCrash/eCitation proposals. Amy can help verify whether an agency is currently submitting electronically, and whether the Clerk of Court accepts citations electronically.	
	The Board agreed that they would like to form a Proposal Subcommittee to review proposals and provide recommendations on which proposals to fund and why. Danielle will put together guidelines for a formalized Proposal Subcommittee to include herself, TraCS, law enforcement, and DOH. This Subcommittee will be responsible for constructing recommendations for the Executive Board. Danielle also noted that it is important for applicants to understand what the prioritization process is. They need more information on what the Board expects to see in their proposals, what types of equipment will be funded, and why. She will continue working to improve this process. Danielle provided a brief demonstration of the latest changes to the TRCC website: http://www.fltrafficrecords.com . Attendees were asked to review the list of FAQs regarding the grant process and provide feedback on additional topics that should be included.	
	The next meeting is scheduled for August 12, 2014. Danielle will send out an email to schedule Board meetings for FY15.	
12:00 PM	Adjourn	Danielle King